

Observation of two-dimensional nonlocal gap solitons

Per Dalgaard Rasmussen,^{1,2} Francis H. Bennet,¹ Dragomir N. Neshev,¹ Andrey A. Sukhorukov,¹ Christian R. Rosberg,¹ Wieslaw Krolikowski,¹ Ole Bang,² and Yuri S. Kivshar¹

¹Nonlinear Physics Centre and Laser Physics Centre, Centre for Ultrahigh-Bandwidth Devices for Optical Systems (CUDOS), Research School of Physical Sciences and Engineering, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia

²DTU Fotonik, Department of Photonics Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Ørstedes Plads 345V, DK-2800 Kgs., Lyngby, Denmark

Received August 21, 2008; revised November 27, 2008; accepted November 30, 2008; posted December 25, 2008 (Doc. ID 100449); published January 26, 2009

We demonstrate, both theoretically and experimentally, the existence of nonlocal gap solitons in two-dimensional periodic photonic structures with defocusing thermal nonlinearity. We employ liquid-infiltrated photonic crystal fibers and show how the system geometry can modify the effective response of a nonlocal medium and the properties of two-dimensional gap solitons. © 2009 Optical Society of America
OCIS codes: 190.4420, 190.6135, 190.4870.

The study of nonlinear wave propagation in periodic photonic structures has attracted a lot of recent interest owing to the possibility of engineering both linear and nonlinear properties of the material. In such systems, self-localized beams or lattice solitons can exist owing to the interplay between effective diffraction and nonlinearity. Lattice solitons have been observed in various physical systems including optics [1] but only with on-site nonlinearity. The consideration of long-range nonlinear interactions between lattice sites, on the other hand, have shown to lead to novel nonlinear phenomena [2]. Such interactions appear when the nonlinear response at a particular lattice site is affected by the light intensity not only at this site but also at its neighbors. In periodic structures, long-range nonlinear interactions can naturally arise from nonlocal response. Nonlocality is common to many physical systems [3], occurring owing to electrostatic interactions or diffusion processes; however, to our knowledge its effect in periodic structures has not been investigated experimentally.

In this Letter we study nonlinear localized states in a two-dimensional (2D) periodic system with nonlocal nonlinear interaction between the lattice sites and demonstrate, for the first time to our knowledge, the formation of nonlocal gap solitons. We utilize the hexagonal lattice of the cladding of a photonic crystal fiber (PCF), where the holes are infiltrated with a high-index weakly absorbing oil. The nonlinearity in our system is defocusing and has thermal origin that arises owing to the negative thermo-optic coefficient of the oil, while the nonlocality originates from the diffusive nature of heat transfer [4].

Nonlocal solitons have been experimentally studied in homogeneous media [5], but in periodic structures only theoretical studies in the one-dimensional (1D) case can be found [6–9]. In defocusing materials, however, no nonlocal bright solitons have been observed to date. Only recently, it has been theoretically shown that 1D nonlocal solitons can exist in periodic structures with defocusing nonlinearity

through localization in the Bragg gap. In two dimensions, however, the situation can become dramatically different, since a complete Bragg gap exists only above a threshold value of the refractive index contrast [10,11].

Therefore, we first study theoretically the existence of 2D nonlocal gap solitons in hexagonal lattices [11]. We consider the paraxial beam propagation in a periodic system with diffusive thermal nonlocal nonlinearity described by the following equations:

$$i a_z(x, y, z) + \nabla_{\perp}^2 a(x, y, z) - p^2 a(x, y, z) = - [n_0(x, y) - \tau(x, y, z) f(x, y)]^2 q^2 a(x, y, z), \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla_{\perp}^2 \tau(x, y, z) = - |a(x, y, z)|^2, \quad (2)$$

where $a(x, y, z)$ stands for the normalized envelope of the electric field and $\tau(x, y, z)$ is the normalized nonlinearly induced temperature change. The transverse dimensions are scaled to the pitch Λ , which is the distance between two adjacent holes [Fig. 1(a)]. q is the normalized vacuum wavenumber, p is the normalized propagation constant, and $n_0(x, y)$ is the linear periodic refractive index. $f(x, y)$ is a normalized nonlinear coefficient with $f(x, y) = 1$ in the holes and zero else-




Fig. 1. (Color online) (a) System geometry. (b) Bandgap structure for $n_S = 1.46$, $n_L = 1.4605$, and $d/\Lambda = 0.5$. Shaded regions correspond to the bands of the finite structure in (a), while the solid curves show the edges of the first bandgap of an infinite lattice. The dashed line indicates the index of the solid material n_S .

where. This is justified, since the thermal nonlinear response of the liquid dominates the thermal response of the glass. Owing to our scaling $-\tau(x,y,z)f(x,y)$ can be interpreted as the light-induced index change.

Our structure [Fig. 1(a)] consists of high-index cylinders placed in a hexagonal pattern inside a circle with radius $R_0=5\Lambda$. R_0 must be large enough to encompass all the high-index cylinders, and our results do not depend on its value. Away from the core the electric field decays rapidly, and we can therefore assume that α vanishes at the boundary of our computational domain R_0 . The boundary condition for the temperature field requires a more careful analysis, since commercially available PCFs often contain a large homogeneous region of silica outside the last ring of holes. If we assume that the physical fiber has a radius $R_1=sR_0$ ($s \geq 1$), and that the temperature at the outer boundary is constant, $\tau(r=sR_0/\Lambda)=0$, it can be shown that $\tau(R_0/\Lambda)/\tau_r(R_0/\Lambda)=-\log(s)R_0/\Lambda$. Here τ_r denotes differentiation with respect to radial coordinate. The above relation is derived under the condition that the heat distribution in the region from R_0 to R_1 is radially symmetric, and that there is no heat source in this region. The meaning of this relation is that one can practically control the electric field inside the lattice by varying the homogeneous spatial extent of the fiber (where the field is zero). This is a clear signature of the nonlocal thermal response, where the degree of nonlocality in the system is measured by the parameter $s=R_1/R_0$. The larger s relates to stronger nonlocality.

The 2D lattice with thermal nonlinearity is inherently finite because the nonlinearity relies on the boundaries [4]. Therefore, we find the eigenmodes of Eq. (1) on the finite domain shown in Fig. 1(a). The discrete spectrum of eigenvalues is divided into bands of closely spaced values separated by large jumps, similarly to the Bloch states of an infinite periodic lattice as shown in Fig. 1(b) ($d/\Lambda=0.5$). In these calculations $n(x,y)=1.4605$ in the holes, and 1.46 elsewhere. A bandgap is seen to open up at $q \approx 140$. In Fig. 1(b) the edges of the first finite bandgap of the corresponding infinite periodic lattice are also plotted with solid curves. At large q the edges of the first gap for the finite and the infinite structure almost coincide, while at smaller values there are propagation constants where a gap exists in the infinite but does not exist in the finite structure.




Fig. 2. (Color online) Families of nonlocal gap solitons. (a), (b) Power $P=\int|E|^2dr$ and soliton width versus effective index for different values of s . The normalized wave number is $q=200$. The dots correspond to the example in Fig. 3.

The presence of the bandgap allows for existence of nonlinear localized states which for defocusing nonlinearity bifurcate from the bottom of the first band. We find these modes by looking for self-consistent z -independent solutions of Eqs. (1) and (2). Importantly, our calculations presented in Fig. 2 show that the gap solitons occupy the whole bandgap, regardless of the degree of nonlocality. We have tested this for different relative hole diameters and outer boundaries, and every time the gap solitons occupy the whole bandgap. This is in contrast to earlier studies of asymmetric nonlocal response where solitons cease to exist at large nonlocality [7].

In Fig. 2(a), we show the soliton power versus effective index for different values of nonlocality s . Notice that at high powers even solitons with propagation constants inside the second band of the corresponding linear structure exist. This apparent contradiction is a result of the finite size of our system, which enables the temperature response to perturb the whole lattice, and thereby change the linear dispersion bands. The same behavior was also recently reported for a 1D system [9]. Another interesting feature seen in Fig. 2(a) is the decrease of the soliton power at increased degree of nonlocality. This is somewhat counterintuitive, as one might expect that with increase of the thermal mass of the fiber (larger s) one would need to inject more power in order to achieve the same nonlinear effect.

In Fig. 2(b) we plot the soliton width $W_d=(\int r^2|a|^2dxdy/\int|a|^2dxdy)^{1/2}$ as a function of $n_{\text{eff}}=p/q$ for different values of the nonlocality parameter s . The solitons are seen to localize as they move further into the bandgap until the effective index reaches approximately the middle of the bandgap. Then the solitons start to delocalize again. This behavior is similar to what has been observed for gap solitons with local nonlinearity [13]. The main effect of nonlocality in the system is the spatial broadening of the solitons with increasing parameter s . This behavior is typical to other nonlocal nonlinear systems [3].

An example of a gap-soliton profile is shown in Fig. 3(a). An inherent signature of the localization in the Bragg gap is the staggered phase structure shown in Fig. 3(b). This staggered structure is in the form of concentric rings around the central hole and resembles the electric field profile of one-dimensional gap solitons [12]. An important difference from gap solitons with local nonlinearity is the actual induced index change. In the thermal nonlocal case, this is represented by the induced temperature response [Fig. 3(d)] that is extended fully toward the boundaries of the structure.

To verify experimentally our predictions that gap solitons exist in a nonlocal 2D lattice, we employ a system comprising the liquid-infiltrated PCF cladding, similar to our earlier experiments [14]. We use a commercially available fiber LMA-15 (Crystal Fibre) with a relative hole diameter $d/\Lambda \approx 0.498$ and $s \approx 1$. The cladding holes are filled by capillary action with an index-matching oil ($n=1.48$). The fiber is placed in a temperature-controlled oven and heated to 76°C such that the refractive index of the oil is re-




Fig. 3. (Color online) Numerically calculated nonlocal gap soliton for power $P=4 \times 10^{-5}$ and $s=10$, marked with a dot in Fig. 2. (a) Intensity and (b) corresponding phase distribution. (c) Soliton profile and (d) induced temperature change along the symmetry line shown as the white dashed line in (a).

duced closer to the index of silica. 532 nm light is butt coupled into a single infiltrated hole in the cladding, well away from the core, using a single-mode fiber. In this system, we observe linear diffraction at low input power (~ 3 mW) [Fig. 4(a)], corresponding to propagation of approximately three diffraction lengths. At high laser power (~ 100 mW) [Fig. 4(b)] we observe nonlinear self-localization to almost a single lattice site. Utilizing a pinhole we also measure the amount of light in the input hole as a function of the power injected in the fiber [Fig. 4(c)]. This dependence effectively represents the degree of localization achieved experimentally, and it corresponds to the theoretical predictions in Fig. 2. Indeed, our experiments confirm that the amount of localization is highest for intermediate powers, while the soliton




Fig. 4. (Color online) (a), (b) Experimentally observed output diffraction pattern and soliton localization in a liquid-infiltrated PCF at low and high input power, respectively. (c) Fraction of light in the input hole versus input power. (d) Measured interference pattern of the output beam with an inclined reference beam.

is delocalized at both band edges. In addition, we observe the alternating phase structure of the gap solitons by producing an interference of the nonlinear mode [Fig. 4(b)] and a wide inclined reference beam. In the resulting interferogram [Fig. 4(d)], the input hole is out of phase with the first ring (upper line, expressed in a half-a-period shift in the interference fringes), while the first ring has constant phase (lower line).

It is important to mention that in our previous experiments [14] utilizing infiltrated fibers with stronger nonlocality $s \approx 2$, we observed no localization but only beam defocusing. This is a direct consequence of the fact that the nonlocal solitons in those fibers are much wider (owing to the larger degree of nonlocality), and therefore they could not be excited via single-site coupling. In the current work our nonlocal parameter is $s=1$. Hence the observed localization is an indication of the formation of nonlocal gap solitons.

In conclusion, we have studied theoretically and generated in experiment nonlocal gap solitons in liquid-infiltrated photonic crystal fibers. We have shown a possibility to control nonlocality in a realistic periodic structure by varying its boundaries.

P. D. Rasmussen thanks the Australian National University for hospitality. The work was supported by the Australian Research Council through Center of Excellence program. We thank A. Bjarklev for help with fiber selection.

References

1. H. S. Eisenberg, Y. Silberberg, R. Morandotti, A. R. Boyd, and J. S. Aitchison, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3383 (1998).
2. S. F. Mingaleev, Yu. S. Kivshar, and R. A. Sammut, *Phys. Rev. E* **62**, 5777 (2000).
3. W. Krolikowski, O. Bang, N. I. Nikolov, D. Neshev, J. Wyller, J. J. Rasmussen, and D. Edmundson, *J. Opt. B* **6**, S288 (2004).
4. A. Minovich, D. N. Neshev, A. Dreischuh, W. Krolikowski, and Yu. S. Kivshar, *Opt. Lett.* **32**, 1599 (2007).
5. C. Rotschild, B. Alfassi, O. Cohen, and M. Segev, *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 769 (2006).
6. Z. Xu, Y. V. Kartashov, and L. Torner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 113901 (2005).
7. Z. Xu, Y. V. Kartashov, and L. Torner, *Opt. Lett.* **31**, 2027 (2006).
8. Y.-Y. Lin, I.-H. Chen, and R.-K. Lee, *J. Opt. A* **10**, 044017 (2008).
9. N. K. Efremidis, *Phys. Rev. A* **77**, 063824 (2008).
10. J. W. Fleischer, M. Segev, N. K. Efremidis, and D. N. Christodoulides, *Nature* **422**, 147 (2003).
11. C. R. Rosberg, D. N. Neshev, A. A. Sukhorukov, W. Krolikowski, and Yu. S. Kivshar, *Opt. Lett.* **32**, 397 (2007).
12. Yu. S. Kivshar, *Opt. Lett.* **18**, 1147 (1993).
13. P. J. Y. Louis, E. A. Ostrovskaya, C. M. Savage, and Yu. S. Kivshar, *Phys. Rev. A* **67**, 013602 (2003).
14. C. R. Rosberg, F. H. Bennet, D. N. Neshev, W. Krolikowski, Yu. S. Kivshar, P. D. Rasmussen, O. Bang, and A. Bjarklev, *Opt. Express* **15**, 12145 (2007).